



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/612,055 | 07/03/2003 | Myung-Ryul Choi | 1293.1734 | 4299 |
| 21171 7590 08/07/2007 STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | | |
| | | | EXAMINER DANIELSEN, NATHAN ANDREW | |
| | | | ART UNIT 2627 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 08/07/2007 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/612,055 | | CHOI, MYUNG-RYUL | |
| | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | Nathan Danielsen | | 2627 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nathan Danielsen. (3)_____.

(2) Paul Daebeler. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 18 July 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 6, 18, 26 and 31.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.


(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner proposed amendments to the claims to correct minor informalities in claims 6 and 18 (essentially switching the order of the final two paragraphs in each claim) and to prevent an additional delay in the prosecution of the application due to a potential rejection of claims 26-32 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, where the rejection would be substantially similar to that found in the action mailed 26 September 2006 and the proposed amendment to claims 26 and 31 can be found in claims 33-35 as filed 20 december 2006. Applicant's representative then indicated that his clients would need to approve these changes and, if approved, a supplemental amendment would be filed.


WILLIAM KORZUCH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600